



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,615	05/14/2001	Hiroshi Nomiyama	JP9-2000-0130 (8728-512)	4823
22150	7590 10/21/2005		EXAMINER	
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD			POLLACK, MELVIN H	
	Y, NY 11797		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2145	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/854,615	NOMIYAMA, HIROSHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Melvin H. Pollack	2145	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
13. Other:
MHP TASW CARPON
TASMITARION

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

88 A 2145

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive. A general response to the arguments will be provided below, while a more detailed examination of the arguments will be given in response to an RCE or Notice of Appeal.

Husick teaches the collection of information from a plurality of sources. More specifically, the process involves collecting documents from a variety of sites (Fig. 1, #112) and placing them in the database (Fig. 1, #110) so that they may be grouped by clustering and later searched to develop documents and composite documents highlighting the search terms (abstract). After each document is grabbed, it is placed into a cluster, and more specifically into a particular category (col. 31, lines 40-60). It does so by using subject lexicons (col. 32, lines 20-30), which is a list of words attached to each category that indicates that it is part of the category, i.e. the word "paint" is more likely to show up in art articles than science articles. The subject lexicons, however, are the words that the clustering unit (Fig. 10, #1012) then selects within the document to be clustered (col. 32, lines 40-55). The cited portions show how discrimitation weights and classifier words are used to search for word variations in order to improve the classification system. For example, documents with the term "apple computer" may need to be classified in a different category than documents with the term "apple." The system degenerates the selected words "apple" and "computer" into the concept "apple computer" through the concept of "bigrams" as described (col. 34, lines 15-35).

Husick teaches determining an index of a capacity for individual sites in the favorite sites to supply topics based on the significance of said words. In fact, this is the purpose of the degeneration, weighting, and clustering method described above. The aforementioned subject lexicons include information regarding the significance of said words, and information regarding the publisher (col. 36, lines 15-50).